Vocabulary Persona by Using Ontologies
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Abstract—Semantic Web add to all these resources that allow computer systems to "understand" the meaning by accessing structured collections of information and inference rules that can be used to drive reasoning automated to better satisfy user requirements. Standard description of Web resources proposed by the W3C, as the name implies, RDF (Resource Description Framework) is a meta-data used to guide the description of resources, to make it more "structured" information necessary for engines research and, more generally, to all necessary computer automated tool for analyzing web pages. The web is a new web semantique or all Web resources are described by metadata, which allows machines better use of these resources. Considering as a foundation specification FOAF (Friend Of A Friend), we use semantic structures (RDFa) to create an ontology and technologies in which it is implemented.Create a conceptual model (eg, an ontology) for personas and their uses in the context of human-computer interaction we will present some screenshots of execution of application.

Index Terms—Semantic Web, FOAF, Persona, Vocabulary, Ontology, Persona.

I INTRODUCTION

Semantic Web technologies and Semantic Web offers us a new approach to managing information and processes, the fundamental principle is the creation and use of semantic metadata. Using the semantics, we can improve the way information is presented. At its simplest, instead of providing a linear search results list, the results can be grouped by meaning. The use of semantic metadata is also crucial for the integration of information from heterogeneous sources, either within an organization or across organizations. [1]

For their implementation, management information, the integration of effective information and integration of applications require that all information and underlying be semantically described and managed process, is that they are associated with a machine description of their meaning. This, the basic idea behind the Semantic Web has become very important to late 1990s [2] and in a more developed in the 2000s [3] form. The last half decade has seen intense activity in the development of these ideas, especially under the auspices of the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) [4]. At the heart of all Semantic Web applications is the use of ontologies. A commonly accepted definition of an ontology is: "An ontology is an explicit and formal specification of a conceptualization of a domain of interest" [5] This definition focuses on two points, first, the conceptualization is formal and therefore allows reasoning by. the computer, and the ontology is designed to perform a particular area of interest. Ontology consists of concepts (also known as the class name), relationships (properties) and instances and axioms. Therefore, a brief definition was proposed as <C,R,I,A> 4-tuple, where C is a set of concepts, R a set of relations, I have a set of instances and A a set of axioms [6]. The first work in Europe and the United States on the definition of ontology languages has converged under the W3C to produce an OWL [7] Web Ontology Language. OWL provides mechanisms for the creation of all the elements of ontology concepts, instances, properties (or relations) and axioms [1]. OWL is based on the Resource Description Framework (RDF) [8], which is essentially a language for data modelling, also defined by the W3C. RDF is based on the graphs, but usually serialized as XML. Essentially, it's triplets: subject, predicate, object [1]. The Semantic Web is simply a web of data described and linked in order to establish the context or semantics that adhere to the defined grammar and language constructs [15]. In this article we try to create a conceptual model (eg, an ontology) for personas and their use in the context of human-computer interaction. These models are widely used in the design, development and science. They are powerful tools to represent the structures and relationships in order to better understand the complex, talk, or use. Without models, we have to make sense of raw unstructured data, without the benefit of an organizing principle. Good models emphasize the main characteristics of structures and relationships they represent and de-emphasize less important details [24].

II FOAF VOCABULARY AND SYNTAX

FOAF is not so much an application as an ontology used by many applications. The Friend of a Friend project (FOAF) was one of the first to recognize the simple
power of social networks. The FOAF project provides tools to connect people so a model that contains typical social attributes such as name, email address, interests, etc. [15]. The FOAF project is based on the use of machine readable Web homepages for individuals, groups, businesses and other types of things. For this, we use the "FOAF vocabulary" to provide a set of basic conditions that can be used in these web pages. At the heart of the FOAF project is a set of definitions to use a dictionary of terms that can be used to express claims about the world. The initial objective of FOAF has been on the description of the people, because people are the things that connect most of the other kinds of things that we describe in the Web: they are documents, attend meetings, are shown photos, and so on. FOAF vocabulary definitions presented here are written using a computer language (RDF / OWL) which makes it easy for software to handle some basic facts about the terms of the FOAF vocabulary, and consequently the things described in the FOAF document. FOAF document, unlike a traditional web page, can be combined with other materials to create a FOAF unified database of information [17].

Example[20]

Here is a very basic document describing a person:

```xml
<foaf:Person>
  <foaf:name>Dan Brickley</foaf:name>
  <foaf:mbox_sha1sum>241021fb0e6289f2815fc210f9e9137262c252e</foaf:mbox_sha1sum>
  <foaf:homepage rdf:resource="http://rdfweb.org/people/dan bri/" />  
  <foaf:img rdf:resource="http://rdfweb.org/people/dan bri/mugshot/dan bri-small.jpeg" />
</foaf:Person>
```

FOAF is an application of the Resource Description Framework (RDF) because the area we describe - people - has so many competing needs a standalone size could not do them any justice. Using RDF, FOAF wins a powerful extensibility mechanism, allowing FOAF based descriptions can be mixed with claims made in any other RDF vocabulary [18].

FOAF, like the Internet itself, is an information system related. It is built using the Semantic Web technology decentralized, and was designed to allow the integration of data across a variety of applications, Web sites and services, and software systems. To achieve this, FOAF adopted a liberal approach to data exchange. It does not require you to say anything about yourself or others, or puts no limits on the things you say or the variety of the Semantic Web vocabularies that can be used to do this. The current specification provides a "dictionary" basic terms about people and the things they do and do [19].

III PERSONA

A persona is a typical user (the famous archetype), a fictional representation of target users, which can be used to set priorities and guide our design decisions interface [22].

The method is a technique personas Users centered design, initiated by Alan Cooper in 1999. This method can provide a common and shared vision of the users of a service or product, highlighting their goals, expectations and potential brakes, and offering a more engaging format. In the field of web persona is a fictional character who represents a targeted group. When designing a website, it may be necessary to define multiple personas that will represent each type of potential visitors. A good persona is not to stereotype users but to create users that seems real. That is why we have set goals and personality traits realistic. Based on the objectives of the persona and its specific characteristics (identity, age, familiarity with computers ...) you should check that the user interface to meet the needs of users represented by the personas [22].

Personas give us a precise way of thinking and communicating how users behave, how they think, what they want to accomplish and why. Personas are not real people, but they are based on the behaviors and motivations of real people that we have observed and represent them throughout the design process. They are composite archetypes based on behavioral data collected from many actual users encountered in the ethnographic interviews. Personas are based on patterns of behavior that we see in the research phase, so that we formalize in the modeling phase. Using personas, we can develop an understanding of the goals of our users in specific contexts - an essential tool for the use of user research to inform and justify our designs[23].

Personas are a model used to describe the objectives, skills, abilities, experience and technical context of the users. They are detailed descriptions of archetypal users built on understanding, very specific data models on real people. A character is not based on an individual - he is a construct developed through a detailed process, not the result of a search for the "right" (see the character creation for more details) . They are used by the design team (and largest project team) to describe and keep the foreground user (s) for which the system will be built [22].

Personas, like many powerful tools are a simple concept but must be applied with considerable sophistication. It is not enough to whip up a couple of profiles based on stereotypes and generalizations users, it is not particularly useful to include a photograph of a stock job title and call it a "persona." Personas to be effective tools for design, considerable rigor and finesse should be applied to the process of identification of significant and meaningful in user behavior trends and transform these into archetypes that represent a wide range of users [23].

IV CATEGORIES AND PERSONAS CONSTRUCTION

Persona is a technical approach to ensure the inclusion and optimizing the user experience in the design of an interactive medium. To be useful, the persona must come from real information about users without their creation which may be based on stereotypes. This approach allows one hand to filter and synthesize data users and secondly to unite all stakeholders around key profiles: the main
tasks that should be an answer, user’s needs and priorities appear more easily identifiable.

Categories of persona:

• Primary Persona
  This persona is usually designated the primary persona. Indeed, each primary persona requires the presence of its own user interface in a particular application. Knowing that there will be more of a primary persona when their needs cannot be met by the same interface. The fewer the number of primary personas the better.

• Secondary Persona.
  By focusing on the primary persona, the secondary persona’s goals and needs can mostly be met. Nevertheless, there are a few needs specific to them that are not a priority for the primary persona. To meet the needs of a secondary persona, there may be small additions to the interface necessary. However, these additions should not negatively affect the experience of the primary persona.

• Supplemental persona
  User persona which are neither primary nor secondary are called supplemental persona. The combination of primary and secondary personas represents completely supplemental persona’s needs that are completely satisfied by the solution devised for one of our primaries.

• Customer persona
  Customer personas match customer needs, as discussed by ((auteur) et al., (année)) and their treatment is similar to that of secondary personas.

• Served persona
  Served persona some what differ from persona types discussed previously. Although they are directly affected by the use of the product, they are not users of the product at all.

• Negative persona
  Negative persona they aren’t users of the product, like served personas. They mediate between stakeholders and product team members by informing them that there are specific types of users that the product is not being built to serve[23]. The majority of studies that have been done on personas seems to focus on targets in the context of what distinguishes persona to another [24]. But with a narrative perspective objectives are part of what makes the persona act in a given situation. What difference personas are like in real life, personal characteristics possess persona (age, history, psyche, etc.).

Personas Construction
  As a rounded character [25], the persona can be characterized by the following elements, namely:

• Body: body constitutes a human being. Sex, age, look helps the designer emphasise the Persona
• Psyche: to understand motivations for actions we need to understand what lies behind the motivation, the personality.
• Background: job position, family, education, social- and cultural positions explain motivations for actions.

• Emotional state: to know the emotional state furthers engagement in the Persona [26]. Inner needs and goals, ambitions and wishes create a foundation for the emotional state.

• Cacophony: two oppositional character traits [27]. The oppositional traits are what constitute the difference between a stereotype and a rounded character.
  Persona is static but is dynamic when inserted into the actions of the scenario. In the scenario, the persona is in a context, in a specific situation with a specific purpose [28].

Persona Elements:
• Goals ,
• Attitudes (related to your context) ,
• Behaviors & Tasks (in your context) ,
• Name ,
• Photo ,
• Tagline ,
• Demographic Info (brief just to help "humanize" them) ,
• Skill level ,
• Environment ,
• Scenarios (not all but perhaps the highest priority, most common or most telling about their needs) [29].

V THE PERSONA DATA MODEL AND THE DIAGRAM

5.1 Use Case Diagram

Fig. 1. Use case diagram of the Persona data model[37].
VI Protege

- Thing
  - Persona
    - Goals
      - Business
      - Personal
      - Practical
    - Context
      - Application
      - ContactPoint
      - PostalAddress
      - Organization
      - Place
        - Country
      - Event
      - Image
    - Research_field

Fig. 2. Gives the list of classes in a hierarchical view.

6.1 This is the List of Data Properties:

Fig. 3. Extract the Data property defined in the ontology Persona

6.2 This is the List of Object Properties:

VII DEPLOYING THE APPLICATION PERSONA

Persona web application developed using JSP and Servlet technologies. To be functional, it must be deployed in an HTTP application server with JSP / Servlet container. Apache Tomcat is both HTTP server (Apache) and Servlet / JSP container, which makes it an ideal candidate for the deployment of our application. The figure below shows the deployment scheme of Persona application.

Fig. 5. Diagram of deployment Persona Application

The deployment of the application on Apache Tomcat persona is done by placing the directory containing the application files in the webapps directory of Tomcat.

VIII IMAGES OF APPLICATION EXECUTION

In what follows we will present some screenshots of execution of our application, the homepage is the first window website, where you can access the different
menus of the site persona. It contains five main menus (Identity, Organization, Context, Event, and Goals).

- Context menu contains three submenus (Place, Society, and Contact point).
- Goals menu contains three submenus (Personal, Business, and Practical).

Fig. 6. Menus of the site persona.

Fig. 7. Context menus active with submenus.

Fig. 8. The first page of website persona.

To access this page below the identity, just click on the menu Identity. This file contains all information (family name...) for each persona, also includes many features including:

The addition, modification, and removal identity.

The same think for context (place, Society, and Contact point), event, goals (Personal, Business, and Practical), organization.

CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we introduced the notion of ontology and several methods and tools for ontology engineering. In our study, taking into consideration the characteristics and benefits of ontologies, we focused on the ontology for building a persona vocabulary.

Like many powerful tools, personas are a simple concept but must be applied with considerable sophistication. It is not enough for some profiles based on stereotypes and generalizations users, it is not particularly useful to attach photos to a job title and call it a "persona." Personas to be effective tools for design, rigor and considerable finesse should be applied to the process of identification of significant and meaningful in user behavior trends and transform these into archetypes that represent a wide range of users.

In addition, ontologies have the potential to allow a true knowledge sharing and reuse of heterogeneous agents, both human and computer. A major challenge is still open alignment of different ontologies to provide interoperability between heterogeneous agents. Considering that we were able to achieve the objectives of the party in this document, and we made the right choices about the tools for implementation, so that our work will be a great way for other future projects.

Looking ahead, we plan to expand our study using another technique, namely: first, the development of other ontologies and combine with ours to enrich the vocabulary used for annotating and research. Then test the possibility of reasoning provided by OWL. Finally, our next task will be presented the implementation details of the application, and is going to use for the realization of the site persona.
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